BILASPUR BENCH

CF 1002 (2)(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 115 /2006-07

PETITIONER : **Phulsay Paikara**
S/o Late Shri Sukhi Ram Paikara
Age about 38 years, Assistant Teacher,
Govt. Primary School, Dwaripara,
Block - Kartala, Distt. - Korba (C.G.)

PR. No. 7909/06
Presented by Shri Vijay Shrivastava
dated 29/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. **State Government of Chhattisgarh**
Through :- **Secretary,**
Tribal & Education Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. **Assistant Commissioner**
Tribal Welfare Department, Korba (C.G.)
3. **District Education Officer**
Korba (C.G.)
4. **Block Education Officer**
Kartala, Distt. - Korba (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
29-12-06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

COMMISSIONER
NA
IYA
3073/96
Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Petitioner most humbly submit as under :-

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(23)

W. P. (S) No. 111 of 2007

Petitioners : Hemant Singh Kanwar and another

Versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P. (S) No. 112 of 2007

Petitioner : Chunni Singh Markam

Versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P. (S) No. 114 of 2007

Petitioner : Kamal Singh Paikara

Versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P. (S) No. 115 of 2007

Petitioner : Phulsay Paikara

Versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

And

W. P. (S) No. 116 of 2007

Petitioner : Pramod Singh Paikara

Versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Shri Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioners.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

---

(24)

ORDER

(Passed on 16th day of January, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case are similar to that of in W. P. (s) 6108 of 2006 (Rajkumari W/o M.K. Dilliwar Vs. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh & others), which was disposed of vide order dated 8th November, 2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, these writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the order dated 8th November, 2006 passed by this Court in W. P. (s) 6108 of 2006. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Babla